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Structure, Governance and Management

The Antipode Foundation was incorporated as a private company limited by guarantee on 14th April 2011 (no. 7604241) and registered as a charity on 7th July 2011 (no. 1142784). It has a governing body of eight trustees (who are also directors for the purposes of the Companies Act 2006) and a secretary to whom the day-to-day management of its affairs is delegated. The Foundation owns *Antipode: A Radical Journal of Geography*, a leading critical human geography journal established in 1969, and grants an exclusive right to publish it to John Wiley & Sons Limited (hereafter Wiley); in return it receives royalties equivalent to a proportion of the net revenues from subscription sales.

The Foundation’s principal charitable activity and source of income is the production of *Antipode*; surpluses generated from primary purpose trading are either [i] distributed in the form of scholarships for individual doctoral students and grants made to universities and similar institutions to support conferences, workshops and seminar series or collaborations between academics and non-academic activists, or [ii] used to arrange and fund summer schools and public lectures. Together with *Antipode* itself, these initiatives promote and advance, for public benefit, social scientific research, education and scholarship in the field of radical and critical geography by enabling the pursuit and dissemination of valuable new knowledge.

The Foundation’s board of trustees consists of one current and seven former *Antipode* editors, appointed on the basis of their expertise in, and dedication to, the project of radical/critical human geography.

The Foundation’s articles of association outline its objects and trustee’s powers and responsibilities, and prescribe regulations. Trustees are required to take decisions collectively; they communicate regularly throughout the year and hold an annual general meeting at which the Foundation’s objectives and activities are discussed, the
last year’s achievements and performance are reviewed (including a report from the Managing Editor of *Antipode* outlining the journal’s progress, and any opportunities and challenges it faces), and decisions on the next year’s grant-making and funding are made in the light of detailed financial plans. The quorum for this meeting is 50% of the trustees.

The current trustees will remain in post until 2015 unless they choose to resign beforehand. After 2015 the normal term for a trustee will be between three and five years, normally renewable once (giving a maximum term of ten years). When a trustee resigns the remaining trustees will select an appropriate replacement, seeking to not only recruit someone with the right skills and experience but also sustain/increase the board’s diversity: an exclusive board risks alienating beneficiaries. The Foundation’s trustees carefully consider the Charity Commission’s and Institute of Chartered Secretaries and Administrators guidance on best practice regarding trustee induction.

Trustees are not entitled to direct remuneration, but the Foundation makes an annual grant of £1,000 to each trustee to be paid into a restricted account administered by the organisation that employs them. The grants are intended to support each trustee in their capacity as researcher, educator and scholar, and are gestures of appreciation and goodwill to the universities employing them. Without the time and labour of the trustees the Foundation would be unable to raise funds and work, and the Foundation will flourish only under the stewardship of the very best radical geographers. The grants allow the trustees to engage research and teaching assistants, attend academic conferences, and meet other costs associated with their scholarship (including books and equipment); administrators in their departments manage the funds, making them available when necessary. The Foundation may also pay any reasonable expenses that the trustees properly incur in connection with their attendance at meetings or otherwise in connection with their responsibilities in relation to the Foundation.

The Foundation has a chairperson who is responsible for communications and the organisation of the annual general meeting. The chair changes annually, and is
elected at the AGM (ideally, alternating between different geographical regions). Nik Heynen served for 2012/13 and Paul Chatterton will be serving for 2013/14.

The Foundation is exclusively responsible for establishing *Antipode*’s editorial policy, defining the aims and scope, controlling the content, and selecting, appointing and supervising the editors and International Advisory Board to implement its editorial policy. The Foundation’s secretary manages the journal’s editorial office, overseeing *Antipode*’s peer-review process and the compilation of issues for publication.

Paul Chatterton completed his term as editor at the beginning of May 2013; Nik Heynen and Wendy Larner stepped down early August 2013; and Vinay Gidwani expects to complete his term in late 2013/early 2014. Sharad Chari (Department of Anthropology, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa) joined the Editorial Collective in May 2012; Katherine McKittrick (Department of Gender Studies, Queen’s University, Canada) in December 2012; and Jenny Pickerill (Department of Geography, University of Leicester, UK) and Nik Theodore (Department of Urban Planning and Policy, University of Illinois at Chicago, USA) joined in June 2013. Another editor will be appointed in late 2013/early 2014 when Vinay steps down. Sharad, Katherine, Jenny and Nik were appointed by the Foundation for terms of up to five years (with no right of renewal); they are neither trustees nor employees of the Foundation, but have signed memoranda of agreement that outline their duties. Paul, Nik, Wendy and Vinay solicited statements of interest and CVs to identify prospective editors, and made recommendations to the Foundation.

The Foundation makes an annual grant of £3,000 to each editor - £4,000 for the Managing Editor - to be paid into a restricted account administered by the organisation that employs them. These grants serve similar purposes to, and are managed in the same way as, grants made to the universities employing the trustees.

The five editors make their own work arrangements, and at all times there must be a Managing Editor who represents the other editors at the Foundation’s annual general meeting. The editors nominate one of their number for this role.
Rather than a ninth Foundation trustee, the Managing Editor is a non-voting participant/observer.

* * *

**Risk management:** The major risks to which the charity is exposed have been identified by the trustees. Their impact and likelihood have been assessed and procedures have been put in place to mitigate them. The document ‘Risk Management and Internal Controls’ (which considers the governance, operational, financial, environmental/external, and compliance risks the charity faces) is regularly referred to by the secretary and trustees during the year and reviewed at their annual general meeting in the light of relevant Charity Commission guidance.

The Foundation takes its role as an employer very seriously. Its reserves policy enables it to continue to employ its secretary if income were to fall dramatically, ensuring continuity of operations in the short term and allowing it to seek alternative sources of funding for the longer term. To review staff performance and discuss development needs, annual meetings between the secretary, the Foundation’s chair and *Antipode*’s Managing Editor take place; achievements over the past year are reviewed, objectives for the coming year are set, and career aspirations and opportunities are discussed. The secretary’s job has been evaluated using the University of Bristol’s criteria and situated on its salary scale. The Foundation operates a defined contribution pension scheme.

The Foundation depends heavily on a single income source, namely, subscription revenues provided by or on behalf of readers of the journal *Antipode*. Open access publishing (where authors pay journals so-called ‘article processing charges’ and access for readers is then free) appears to be growing and gaining government and research-funder support, and thus *Antipode*’s subscription-based business model might become increasingly untenable. The Foundation continues to monitor the open access debate, situate its current business model in a wider
landscape of possibilities, and explore desirable and feasible alternatives. Its secretary attended two conferences (at the Academy of Social Sciences in November 2012 and the Westminster Higher Education Forum in February 2013) at which key decision-makers and stake-holders discussed recent developments; Wendy Larner contributed to a panel discussion with other journal editors at the Association of American Geographers annual meeting in April 2013; and conversations are ongoing with colleagues at Wiley, charities such as the Foundation for Urban and Regional Studies and the Regional Studies Association, and geography journals including ACME, Progress in Human Geography and Environment and Planning A to think through what the future of academic publishing might look like and explore alliance building to promote and advance social scientific research, education and scholarship in the field of radical and critical geography.
Objectives and Activities

The Foundation’s objects are outlined in its articles of association; it exists specifically for public benefit and the promotion and improvement of social scientific research, education and scholarship in the field of radical and critical geography. To this end it enables the pursuit and dissemination of valuable new knowledge that advances the field by:

- Producing *Antipode: A Radical Journal of Geography*, a peer-reviewed academic journal published by Wiley, and its companion website, AntipodeFoundation.org;
- Making grants to support conferences, workshops and seminar series; enable collaborations between academics and non-academic activists; and allow doctoral students to attend international conferences and cover additional research expenses; and
- Arranging and funding summer schools for doctoral students, postdoctoral researchers, and recently appointed junior faculty; and public lectures at international geography conferences.

In setting these aims and undertaking these strategies to achieve them, the Foundation’s trustees have carefully considered the Charity Commission’s guidance on public benefit. The trustees regard any private benefit received by grant recipients and those participating in summer schools, *etc.* as incidental to the achievement of the Foundation’s objects.

Objectives

Radical/critical geography is a preeminent and vital part of the discipline of human geography in higher education in the UK, North America, the Antipodes, and South
Africa, as well as Europe, Latin America, and South and East Asia. It is characterised, as some of our grant recipients recently put it, by “intellectual acuity, liveliness and pluralism”. On one level, there’s little between ‘radical geography’ and ‘critical geography’; the differences are meaningless. ‘Radical’ and ‘critical’ are simply synonyms; some prefer the former, others the latter, and both signify politically left-of-centre, progressive work for justice and democracy. On another, though, the existence of two labels has significance. Since the mid-to-late 1960s the sub-discipline has expanded and pluralised, with an increasingly diverse set of Left geographers gaining legitimacy and positions of power in universities and the range of ‘valid’ approaches widening from the 1980s and 1990s. *Antipode* has always welcomed the infusion of new ideas and the shaking-up of old positions through dialogue and debate, never being committed to just one view of analysis or politics.

While radical/critical geography has changed considerably since the early days of *Antipode*, and is today more varied and vibrant than ever, one thing has remained the same - its ‘engaged’ nature. It’s “…[not] static and detached from what is going on in the world…[but] dynamic and profoundly influenced by events, struggles and politics beyond university life”\textsuperscript{vi}. It has engaged with them, learning from and speaking to myriad individuals and groups, examining the worlds they cope with and their ways of responding to them. Neither despairing about domination and oppression nor naively hopeful about resistance and alternatives, radical/critical geography “…has come of age with movements for progressive political and social change”\textsuperscript{vii} as both participant in and observer of them. It’s rigorous and intellectually substantive - and, to be sure, uses its fair share of arcane language! - and nevertheless radical/critical geography is remarkably ‘grounded’, concerned with confronting the world as it is and enacting changes people want to see.

The Foundation exists to promote and improve this diverse and outward-looking field. The beneficiaries of its work are ultimately academics, students and the individuals and groups they work with who are able to apply the useful new knowledge it helps pursue and disseminate. The Foundation carries out seven main activities in order to achieve its objectives.
Activities

Since 1969 Antipode: A Radical Journal of Geography has published peer-reviewed papers that offer radical (Marxist/socialist/anarchist/feminist/anti-racist/queer/green) analyses of geographical issues such as place, space, landscape, region, nature, scale, territory, uneven development, borders, and mobility (among many others). These essays further the intellectual and political goals of a broad-based critical human geography, intending to engender the development of a new and better society. Now appearing five times a year and published by Wiley, Antipode offers some of the best and most provocative geographical work available today; work from both geographers and their fellow travellers; from scholars both eminent and emerging. Antipode also publishes short commentaries (or ‘Interventions’; these meditate on the state of radical practice and/or theory, cast a radical geographer’s eye over ‘live’ events, or report strategies for change and forms of organisation producing a more socially just and radically democratic life), book reviews and review symposia (the online versions of these are open access, that is, freely available without a subscription), and the Antipode Book Series (which publishes scholarship reflecting distinctive new developments in radical geographical research). It is complemented by a companion website, AntipodeFoundation.org.

Access to both the print and the online versions of Antipode is restricted to individuals, higher education institutions, libraries, and other research establishments with a subscription. Just over 4,000 institutions subscribed and had access to the very latest Antipode content in 2012; approximately half of these were in North America and Europe. Just over 5,000 institutions in the developing world also had access (either free of charge or at a very low cost) through the philanthropic initiative Research4Life (this consists of four public-private partnerships that seek to help achieve the UN’s Millennium Development Goals by providing the developing world with access to natural and social science research) and the Programme for the Enhancement of Research Information (PERI) of the International Network for the Availability of Scientific Publications (INASP). Finally, 3,500 libraries had access to Antipode in 2012 through EBSCOhost databases that allow third-party...
access to embargoed (that is, at least one year old) content. The journal is catalogued in the ISSN Register (International Standard Serial Numbers 0066-4812 [print] and 1467-8330 [online]) and included in the major indices of social scientific publications including Thomson Reuters Journal Citation Reports.

The Foundation seeks to support a new generation of radical geographers with Graduate Student Scholarships. These awards of US$2,500 and a three-year subscription to Antipode are offered to current doctoral students working in any field of radical geographical scholarship (they could be based in sociology or anthropology departments, for example, but their research will be focused on matters of intellectual and political concern to radical geographers). One is awarded each year in the form of an individual grant (advertisements appear in issues 5 and 1 of Antipode, and it is announced on the Foundation’s website and a number of electronic mailing lists used by radical/critical geographers), and the funds are intended to provide resources to attend an international conference and cover additional research expenses.

Applicants describe the form and content of their doctoral research - work undertaken thus far, preliminary findings, expected contributions to radical geography, our understanding of the world around us, and progressive social change - and explain how attending an international conference and further investigation will aid it. Applications are especially encouraged from the developing world and/or from those traditionally marginalised in the academy, and those who have already received a Graduate Student Scholarship are not eligible to apply for another. The Scholarship enables new voices to be heard at international meetings of scholars and offers funds to allow doctoral students to pursue emerging, often unanticipated issues in their research. Scholarship winners are announced on the Foundation’s website and they have an opportunity to introduce their research there in a short video. A summary of their doctoral research is published in Antipode also (the online version of the essay is made open access).
[3] Antipode Foundation **Regional Workshop Awards** are single-year grants of up to £10,000 available to groups of radical/critical geographers staging regionally based events (including conferences, workshops, seminar series and summer schools) that involve the exchange of ideas across disciplinary and sectoral boundaries and intra/international borders, and lead to the building of productive, durable relationships. They make capacity-building in a region possible by enabling the development of a community of scholars.

Activists (of all kinds) and students as well as academics are welcome to apply, and applications are welcome from those based outside geography departments; historians, political scientists and many others can apply if their work contributes to radical/critical geographic conversations. Also, the trustees take care to call for proposals from historically under-represented groups, regions, countries and institutions. Applicants describe planned activities and rationale, expected outcomes, and dissemination and legacy plans (including conference presentations, peer-reviewed publications and teaching), and outline a budget. Eligible costs may include delegates’ economy-class travel, accommodation and catering, and translation; the scheme is not intended to allow organisers to make a surplus from events. The grant must be held and administered by a host institution such as a university, and it is also expected that host institution facilities will be used to support events wherever possible.

Recipients of Regional Workshop Awards are announced on the Foundation’s website; they provide short reports to the trustees one year after receipt of the grant outlining the ways in which research has been shared, developed and applied (and any problems that may have been encountered), and versions of these are made freely available on the Foundation’s website (the trustees also encourage photos and recordings of presentations, etc.)

[4] Antipode Foundation **Scholar-Activist Project Awards** are single-year grants of up to £10,000 intended to support collaborations between academics and students and non-academic activists (from non-governmental organisations, think tanks, social movements, or community/grassroots organisations, among other places),
including programmes of action-orientated and participatory research and publicly-focused forms of geographical investigation. They offer opportunities for scholars to relate to civil society and make mutually beneficial connections.

The trustees take care to call for proposals from historically under-represented groups, regions, countries and institutions. Applicants describe planned activities and rationale, expected outcomes, and dissemination and legacy plans (including conference presentations, peer-reviewed publications and teaching), and outline a budget. The grant must be held and administered by a host institution such as a university, and it is also expected that host institution facilities will be used to support projects wherever possible. The grant covers directly incurred costs only, including investigator costs where these help further our charitable mission; the Foundation will consider paying postgraduate research assistant and community researcher costs, but not, under normal circumstances, the cost of university employees.

Recipients of Scholar-Activist Project Awards are announced on the Foundation’s website; they provide short reports to the trustees one year after receipt of the grant outlining the nature of the cooperation/co-enquiry and the mutual, lasting benefits (and any problems that may have been encountered), and versions of these are made freely available on the Foundation’s website (the trustees encourage photos and video also).


The Foundation makes £5,000 available for the conference organisers, the steering committee of the International Critical Geography Group (ICCG), to distribute in the form of individual grants; the vast majority of this sum (over four-
fifths) is awarded to applicants from outside Europe and North America, with smaller bursaries being given to outstanding applicants from higher-income countries. The ICGG steering committee considers each applicant’s proposed participation, attainment and ability, and access to required resources. The funds awarded cover travel only (accommodation is offered by students and colleagues of the conference organisers) and are intended to increase the diversity of those presenting papers and chairing sessions.

[6] The Foundation runs a lecture series, sponsoring sessions at the annual meetings of the Association of American Geographers (AAG) and Royal Geographical Society (with the Institute of British Geographers) (RGS-IBG). These annual international conferences are major events attracting around 7,000 and 1,000 delegates respectively, and are widely seen as vital venues for the exchange of cutting-edge ideas. Both charge registration/participation fees on a sliding scale, with substantial discounts available for students, retirees and those on a low income.

The trustees invite presenters who represent both the political commitment and intellectual integrity that characterise the sort of work that appears in Antipode and that the Foundation seeks to stimulate. The Foundation covers the travel and accommodation costs of the speakers and Wiley films the lectures, making them freely available online, and provides refreshments. Speakers might also submit essays to be peer-reviewed and, if successful, published in Antipode. The lectures are inspiring and often provocative presentations from leading scholars, and also represent an excellent opportunity for the trustees to raise the profile of the Foundation, communicate its work to a wide audience, and in doing so maintain a good relationship with beneficiaries.

[7] The biennial Institute for the Geographies of Justice (IGJ) is a week-long opportunity for doctoral students, postdoctoral researchers, and recently appointed junior faculty (normally within three years of appointment) to engage leading-edge theoretical, methodological, and research-practice issues in the field of radical/critical geography and social justice, along with a range of associated
professional and career-development matters. This international meeting is specifically designed to meet the needs of new scholars, taking the form of an intensive, interactive workshop for 25 participants and including facilitated discussion groups and debates, training and skills-development modules, and plenary sessions lead by established scholars.

The Foundation’s trustees are joined by colleagues from around the world in facilitating/leading the elements of the week. Participants are required to pay a participation fee of US$200 for doctoral students and US$250 for junior faculty and postdoctoral researchers; this fee is a contribution towards accommodation, some meals, and an end-of-week reception. The Foundation covers the remainder of the costs. Travel bursaries are also available, and are distributed as equitably as possible. Applicants are asked to outline their educational and employment histories, publication record, research interests and current project(s), and career plans and ambitions. Participants are encouraged to submit jointly authored post-Institute reports for publication either in Antipode (the online version of the essay is made open access) or on AntipodeFoundation.org

* * *

Grantmaking policies: When assessing applications for grants - whether Graduate Student Scholarships, Regional Workshop Awards or Scholar-Activist Project Awards - trustees act in good faith and recuse themselves where necessary. To prevent negative impacts on reputation and the possibility of trustees benefiting from the charity, trustees recuse themselves from decision-making processes involving applications for funding from departmental colleagues, former students, research collaborators, and the like; where there is any doubt about the ‘strength’ of the connection, trustees err on the side of caution and stand down.

While the trustees encourage applications from the developing world and/or from those traditionally marginalised in the academy (historically under-represented
groups, regions, countries and institutions) the opportunity to benefit is not unreasonably restricted: nationality, gender, ethnicity, disability, sexual orientation, religion, and age are not determinants of success; the trustees consider the scientific merits of each workshop, project, etc. and applicants’ ability and attainment or, in the case of graduate students, potential to develop as scholars, while trying to distribute funds as equitably as possible by taking resources available to applicants into consideration.

Checks are performed on the integrity of applicants, both individuals and the institutions holding and administering the funds. The latter are asked to confirm the applicant’s position in the institution, that the applicant has considered the institution’s research ethics guidelines, that the applicant has considered the institution’s health and safety rules, that there are appropriate insurances in place, that the provision of additional support is in place in the form of, but not exclusively limited to, office space, computing and related equipment and support, and library facilities, and that the institution will manage the financial arrangements for the grant and allow its portability in the event that this is necessary and approved by the Foundation.

These policies will be reviewed at the next annual trustees’ meeting.
Achievements and Performance

[1] The 44th volume of the journal *Antipode* was published in 2012. Its five issues contained, across 1,754 pages, a total of 79 papers, 15 book reviews, six ‘interventions’ and an editorial. Highlights include a special issue focusing on ‘anarchist geographies’, a book review symposium on Ananya Roy’s *Poverty Capital: Microfinance and the Making of Development*, and Melissa Wright’s *Antipode* RGS-IBG lecture, ‘Wars of Interpretations’. We received a good number of submissions for peer-review: 242 papers (165 of which were new submissions and 77 were re-submissions, that is, papers that had been previously submitted and refereed and then revised and re-submitted). To put this in context, from 2000 to 2003 the journal received approximately 50-60 papers per year; this rose to just over 100 by 2005, approximately 170-180 by 2007, and just under 260 by 2009; in 2010 we received 244 submissions and 240 in 2011. Approximately 32% of all submissions were from the US, 11% from Canada, 17% from the UK, 19% from the rest of Europe, 6% from Australia and New Zealand, and 2% from South Africa.

We’re confident the journal remains popular, and its papers are being read and used in further research: *Antipode*’s ‘impact factor’ increased from 2.150 in 2011 to 2.430 in 2012 - this means a move up the Thomson Reuters Journal Citation Reports ranking of geography journals from 10th of 73 to 7th of 72; the journal’s online presence also strengthened in 2012, with downloads of *Antipode* papers from Wiley Online Library increasing from just over 218,000 in 2011 to 262,000 in 2012; and 97% of 2011’s subscriptions were renewed for 2012.

Democracy in March. Early reviews suggest the books are being well received both within and beyond geography.

Finally, the trustees believe strong peer reviewing is perhaps the single most important element in ensuring the quality and relevance of papers in *Antipode*. Our commitment to publishing the best possible papers - writing that is politically-engaged, timely and passionate, and done with theoretical and empirical rigour - would falter were it not for the voluntary labour of our reviewers; mutuality is the lifeblood of journals such as ours. We would like to thank the referees (more than 300 of them!) who gave their time and energy generously, offering insights and expertise to comment on one or more papers in 2012/13.

[2] There were 53 applications for the 2012/13 Graduate Student Scholarship; the majority (47) came from applicants based at North American, European and Antipodean universities. The Scholarship was awarded to Teo Ballvé, a doctoral student in the Department of Geography, University of California, Berkeley, USA. Teo received his award in May 2012 and in that month presented his research, ‘Territories of life and death on a Colombian frontier’, to the Latin American Studies Association meeting in San Francisco. He chaired a session entitled ‘Contando lo narco: Research, methods, and narratives of narco-fueled violence’, bringing together an international group of scholars from literary criticism, geography, cultural studies and journalism to explore the violent explosion of Latin America’s drug wars in recent years. Teo also travelled to Washington DC and New York to conduct research interviews. In September 2012 he presented his research in a ‘video abstract’ on AntipodeFoundation.org, and published an open-access essay in volume 45, issue 1 of *Antipode*. Teo is currently completing his PhD at Berkeley and is a journalist in Colombia.

[3] The first Regional Workshop Awards were made in 2012. Calls for applications were launched in April on the Foundation’s website and a number of electronic mailing lists used by radical/critical geographers. Applicants were asked to describe prospective events (location and date, participants, planned activities,
rationale, etc.); explain their ambitions (how their events will contribute to radical geographic scholarship and practice); and outline plans for outcomes, dissemination and legacies. The deadline for applications was the end of June, by which point the Foundation’s secretary had received 62 applications (one came from an institution in a country classified ‘low-income’ by the World Bank, three from countries classified ‘lower-middle-income’, and five from countries classified ‘upper-middle-income’; the rest came from institutions in countries classified ‘high-income’). Decisions were made in July and all applicants informed in early August.

Vinay Gidwani, Wendy Larner, Jamie Peck and Melissa Wright assessed the applications. As per the Foundation’s grantmaking policies, the trustees recused themselves where necessary. Neither Vinay nor Jamie played a part in the assessment of Trevor Barnes and Eric Sheppard’s application (Vinay was a colleague of one of the applicants, and the applicants named Jamie as a possible participant); Vinay didn’t assess Jen Gieseking and colleagues’ application because he has an adjunct position at the City University of New York, the proposed host institution; and Vinay also didn’t assess Anant Maringanti and Vamsi Vakulabharanam’s application because he is a trustee of Hyderabad Urban Lab, the proposed host institution (but he was not involved in the application). The applications were given a single score between 1 and 10 by each trustee and then ranked by average score. The top 16 applications were then subject to some debate, and six emerged that the trustees wished to support.

Anant Maringanti and Vamsi Vakulabharanam had applied for both a Regional Workshop Award and a Scholar-Activist Project Award and the trustees saw both initiatives as worthy of support. Rather than overly-concentrate resources Anant was given a choice and he and his team decided they’d prefer support for the latter. This meant the following applicants were informed that they’d been successful:

- Trevor Barnes (University of British Columbia) and Eric Sheppard (University of California, Los Angeles)

**A history of radical and critical geography**
£3,900

- Patrick Bond (University of KwaZulu-Natal) and Brij Maharaj (University of KwaZulu-Natal)
  *Mapping Durban’s eco-social grievances: The geography of ecological, economic and political problems and protests*
  £8,000

- Jen Gieseking and the SpaceTime Research Collective (City University of New York), Caitlin Cahill and Maria Elena Torre (CUNY / Public Science Project) and Tara Mack (Education for Liberation Network)
  *NYC geographic expedition and institute: Liberation education for geographic inquiry*
  £10,000

- Roberta Hawkins (University of Guelph), Alison Mountz (Wilfred Laurier University) and Alice Hovorka (University of Guelph)
  *Regional revolutions: Advancing radical geographical scholarship and practice through feminist geography across the Canada-US border*
  £5,300

- Dimitra Spanou (Encounter Athens), Dimitra Siatitsa (INURA Athens), Marc Marti (Autonomous University of Barcelona) and Pashalis Samarinis (National Technical University of Athens)
  *Crises regimes and emerging urban social movements in cities of southern Europe*
  £10,000

Unsuccessful applicants were also contacted by e-mail, and the results were made available online. Unfortunately, the trustees were unable to give detailed feedback to unsuccessful applicants (this was made clear prior to application). Grants were
made in August 2012 to the City University of New York and the University of Guelph, in September to INURA Athens, in November to the University of KwaZulu-Natal, and in February 2013 to the University of British Columbia. It is a policy of the Foundation not to pay university overheads and indirect costs: there is an established convention in the UK and elsewhere that research grants paid by charities cover only a proportion of the work to be done, with institutions finding the remainder from other funding sources. None of the institutions holding and administering the grants levied such charges.

[4] The **Scholar-Activist Project Awards** were launched just after the Regional Workshop Awards. Calls for applications went out in April on the Foundation’s website and a number of electronic mailing lists used by radical/critical geographers. Applicants were asked to outline their projects (background, participants, planned activities, and rationale); say something about their ambitions, that is, how the project will contribute to radical geographic scholarship and practice; and sketch out planned outcomes, dissemination and legacies. The deadline for applications was the end of June, by which point the Foundation’s secretary had received 105 applications (eight came from institutions in countries classified ‘lower-middle-income’ by the World Bank, six from countries classified ‘upper-middle-income’, and the rest from countries classified ‘high-income’). Decisions were made in July and all applicants informed in early August.

Noel Castree, Paul Chatterton, Nik Heynen and Jane Wills assessed the applications. Given the large number of applications, the trustees divided them into four sets, ensuring there were no conflicts of interest. Each trustee assessed their set using three criteria: [i] ‘the collaboration - who is involved? what kind of relationship do they have? is there going to be legacy from this project?’; [ii] ‘what are they are doing? - is it about change/impact or is it more abstract? does it include thinking and doing? what is the substantive contribution being made? can it be used as a springboard for more? is this a genuinely exciting project?’; and [iii] ‘how are they doing it? - is there something innovative about the way they are working? does this have wider impact/legacy?’. Each application was given three scores between 1 and 4
(where 4 is ‘outstanding’, 3 ‘good’, 2 ‘OK’ and 1 ‘poor’) and a single, composite score by each trustee. Noel put nine forward (each rated 9 or above); Paul ten; Nik eight; and Jane seven. This shortlist of 34 was re/considered by the trustees (there were no recusals) and an even-shorter-list of eight was then subject to some debate.

The following applicants were informed that they’d been successful:

- Loretta Lees (King’s College London), London Tenants Federation, Richard Lee (Just Space), Urban Salon and Mara Ferreri (Southwark Notes Archive Group / Queen Mary, University of London)
  *Challenging the ‘new urban renewal’: Gathering the tools necessary to halt the social cleansing of council estates and developing community-led alternatives for sustaining existing communities*
  £9,964

- Anant Maringanti (Hyderabad Urban Lab), Vamsi Vakulabharanam (University of Hyderabad), Siddharth Hande (Hyderabad Urban Lab) and Poornima Chikarmane (SNDT Women’s University / SWACCH - waste pickers union)
  *A spatial political economy of waste in Hyderabad, India: A ‘geography from below’ approach*
  £10,000

- Pamela Ngwenya (University of KwaZulu-Natal), Marianne Knuth (Kufunda Village) and Bongisipho Phewa (Durban Community Video Collective)
  *Visioning the future: Exploring youth participatory video and geographical imagination in Zimbabwe and South Africa*
  £10,000

- Martí Orta (Autonomous University of Barcelona), Jordi Noè (AlterNativa Intercanvi amb Pobles Indígenes) and Yanet Cavallero (Programa de Defensa Indígena - Solsticio-Perú)
Science for indigenous activism: Mapping the impacts of oil companies
£10,000

- Sara Safransky (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill), Linda Campbell (Building Movement-Detroit), Andrew Newman (Wayne State University) and Danielle Atkinson (Mothering Justice)

Uniting Detroiters: Coming together from the ground up
£10,000

Unsuccessful applicants were also contacted by e-mail, and the results were made available online. Unfortunately, the trustees were unable to give detailed feedback to unsuccessful applicants (this was made clear prior to application). Grants were made in August 2012 to Wayne State University and Kufunda Village Trust, in September to King’s College London, in October to alterNativa Intercanvi amb Pobles Indígenes, and in December to the University of Hyderabad. It is a policy of the Foundation not to pay university overheads and indirect costs: there is an established convention in the UK and elsewhere that research grants paid by charities cover only a proportion of the work to be done, with institutions finding the remainder from other funding sources. None of the institutions holding and administering the grants levied such charges.


[6] The year 2012/13 has seen the Foundation spend almost £1,500 sponsoring two lectures.

- At the 2012 RGS-IBG annual international conference in Edinburgh, 3rd-5th July, Katherine Gibson - a professor at the University of Western Sydney’s Institute for Culture and Society, Australia - presented ‘Take Back the
Economy, Any Time, Any Place: Pedagogies for Securing Community Economies’; and

- At the 2013 annual meeting of the Association of American Geographers (AAG) in Los Angeles, 9th-13th April, Christian Parenti - contributing editor at *The Nation* and professor at the School for International Training Graduate Institute, USA - presented ‘The Environmental State: Territoriality, Violence, and Value’.

The lectures were well attended with approximately 200 delegates at the 2012 RGS-IBG and an audience of 500 at the 2013 AAG. The video of the former lecture has been popular with approximately 250 viewings of thus far (the latter was released in June 2013). As a collection, the *Antipode* lecture series videos have attracted over 7,000 viewings to date.

A ‘virtual issue’ of *Antipode* was produced to mark Katherine Gibson’s 2012 RGS-IBG lecture. Entitled ‘Imagining and Enacting Community Economies’, this consisted of 13 papers - either authored/co-authored by Katherine, influenced by her work, or speaking to it in some way - pulled from the digital archive and made open access until the end of December 2012. The issue was well received, and has thus far been viewed almost 1,000 times.

[7] A considerable amount of the organising of the 2013 Institute for the Geographies of Justice occurred in the year 2012/13. (The Institute itself took place between 27th May and 1st June 2013 in Durban, South Africa, and will be discussed in next year’s annual report.) Calls for applications were made in August 2012 on the Foundation’s website and a number of electronic mailing lists used by radical/critical geographers. Applicants were asked to outline their educational and employment histories; list any publications; describe their research interests and work undertaken thus far; list between three and five essays or books that have influenced them and their work; explain their career plans and ambitions; and state whether they’re requesting a travel bursary from the Foundation (and if so list other
possible sources of funding). By the end of January 2012 the Foundation’s secretary had received 110 applications (six from institutions in World Bank-defined ‘lower-middle-income’ countries, nine from institutions in ‘upper-middle-income’ countries, and 95 from institutions in ‘high-income’ countries). These were assessed by Nik Heynen, Wendy Larner, Andrew Kent, and an ‘external’ reader in February (each suggested a shortlist of 25 applicants plus ten applicants to be put on a waiting list and consensus was sought) and all applicants were notified of the outcome in March. The 25 successful applicants paid their participation fees in either March or April (these came to just over £3,500), and the 17 awarded travel bursaries received these shortly after (they shared £13,550; the eight not in receipt of travel bursaries had indicated that other funds were available to them).

Finally, the Foundation’s website - AntipodeFoundation.org - continues to receive just under 9,500 page views per month and host all manner of material complementing both Antipode the journal and the wider work of the Foundation. It has advertised the Graduate Student Scholarship, Regional Workshop and Scholar-Activist Project Awards, the lecture series, and the 2013 Institute for the Geographies of Justice, and disseminated sponsored research, as well as hosting video abstracts introducing readers to an author’s forthcoming work and making links between it and the concerns of our times; open access ‘virtual issues’ of the journal that explore the digital archive and highlight groups of papers speaking to issues both timely and ‘timeless’; symposia consisting of critical responses to Antipode papers and authors’ replies; and reflections on current affairs that demonstrate the value of a geographical imagination by suggesting how the work of radical geographers (and their fellow travellers) might cast light on them. All material on AntipodeFoundation.org can be downloaded, free of charge, and shared with others as long as producers are credited and work is neither changed in any way nor used commercially.
Financial Review

Please see the appended Independent Examiner’s report, statement of financial activities, balance sheet and notes.

Incoming resources: The Foundation’s principal source of funding for the year ended 30 April 2013 was royalties from Wiley, that is, monies payable by the publisher of Antipode to the Foundation in consideration for its exclusive right to publish the journal. These monies are equivalent to a proportion of the net revenues from the exercise of this right, including income from subscription sales, sales of back volumes, single issues and offprints, and reprint fees charged. They are paid in two instalments: an advance on royalties before 31st January in a year; and the balance (if any) before 31st March in the year following it.

The Foundation also received: interest on its bank accounts; contributions to the costs of both the annual general meeting and the journal’s editorial office from Wiley; and participation fees from those attending the 2013 Institute for the Geographies of Justice.

Resources expended: as well as the trustees’ honoraria/grants made to the institutions employing the trustees (a total of £21,000 [see again endnote iv]) and grants to support our new editors (£4,250 for Sharad Chari [£3,000 covering May 2012-April 2013] and Katherine McKittrick [£1,250 covering December 2012-April 2013]), the Foundation’s expenditure in direct support of its charitable purposes included: £6,169 on conferences (that is, £4,763 on preparations for the 2013 Institute for the Geographies of Justice [including participants’ accommodation and facilitators’ travel] and £1,406 on the 2012 Royal Geographical Society [with the Institute of British Geographers] and 2013 Association of American Geographers lectures); £15,169 on scholarships and bursaries (that is, £1,619 on the 2012/13
Graduate Student Scholarship and £13,550 on participants’ travel bursaries for the 2013 Institute for the Geographies of Justice); and £87,164 on the Regional Workshop and Scholar-Activist Project Awards and associated costs.

Reserves and investment policies: The Foundation keeps both long-term and short-term reserves. It does so in order to balance the needs of current and future beneficiaries: short-term reserves enable it to cope with future challenges, while long-term reserves enable it to respond to future opportunities. Saving now, as the trustees see it, enables necessary and important spending in the future. These policies are reviewed at each annual general meeting of the trustees and Charity Commission guidance is continually monitored.

Long-term reserves: The one-off ‘signing bonus’ of £230,000 received in August 2011 (after signing the new journal publishing agreement with Wiley in July 2011) was earmarked and set aside for spending over the course of the contract. £28,750 supplements each year’s income for the seven years from May 2012 to April 2019. Each year the Foundation expects to spend its total income plus £28,750 from these funds. This earmarking enables the Foundation to be even-handed to future and current beneficiaries, that is, to be open to opportunities that may arise in the coming years.

These funds qua savings are expected to grow more or less in line with inflation over the term of the investment, and thus to maintain their value in real terms. The Foundation’s investment policy seeks to balance security, attractive interest rates, and a financial institution’s ethical policies. The Foundation closed its investment account at the Co-operative Bank in February 2013 and opened one at Monmouthshire Building Society: the Co-operative’s rate of interest fell to 1.56% from 2.16% while Monmouthshire offered 2.50% (and, as a mutual lender and deposit taker, sound ethical policies).
Short-term reserves: The Foundation depends heavily on a single income source, but large reserves are not necessary (despite the general economic situation and expected growth of open access publishing and the effects these are likely to have on subscription and related revenues) because [i] the ring-fenced ‘signing bonus’ has a buffering effect and [ii] expenditure is related to income. One-year funding decisions are made after annual income has been received; matching the timing of outgoing resources to that of incoming ones means we can plan and maximise spending for the year.

The Foundation has a legal responsibility to perform as outlined in its contract with Wiley, and if subscription revenues were to fall dramatically (if, for example, the environment in which the Foundation operates were to radically change) funds would need to be in place to enable it to do so. Nevertheless, large reserves are unnecessary because of a guaranteed income stream for the editorial office\textsuperscript{xlii}. This income stream, plus an additional £28,750 to be held in reserve, will enable the Foundation to employ its secretary and meet incidental operating expenses for a period of 12 months while its trustees seek alternative sources of funding.
Plans for Future Periods

The meeting of the Foundation’s trustees in May 2013 yielded a number of plans. Last year the trustees announced that the 2012/13 Graduate Student Scholarship would be the last: the Foundation is concentrating its resources on initiatives that promote collaboration and community building, cooperation and co-enquiry, rather than simply supporting individuals. The AAG and RGS-IBG lectures, the Regional Workshop and Scholar-Activist Project Awards, and the IGJ all do this. And for 2013/14 the trustees will be introducing a new, complementary initiative - the Translation and Outreach Awards.

Last year we mentioned our commitment to ‘internationalising’ the Foundation’s activities, that is, maximising the diversity of those attending the IGJ, applying for Regional Workshop and Scholar-Activist Project Awards, and submitting papers to the journal. The Translation and Outreach Awards are a step towards this. They are intended to support ongoing conferences or meetings in the field of critical geography (broadly defined) and to facilitate engagement with scholarship from outside the English-speaking world. The Awards are intended to break down some of the barriers between language communities, enabling hitherto under-represented groups, regions, countries and institutions to enrich conversations and debates in Antipode. Grants of up to £5,000 can be used in the following ways:

[i] to stage an Antipode lecture, panel, or similar session at an established conference or meeting;
[ii] to run a related event or pre-conference for graduate students and early-career faculty; and
[iii] to cover the costs of translating a significant essay or previously published paper into English, subsequently to be published in on AntipodeFoundation.org or in Antipode.
The translation will be accompanied by a brief introduction from a guest editor and two/three newly commissioned commentaries that contextualise it and speak to its contributions to radical scholarship and praxis in a region/country.

We hope that by enabling this communication and exchange - engaging with, supporting, and learning from important scholarship from the global South and elsewhere - we'll begin to open the Foundation’s activities to the widest possible group of beneficiaries. Two or three pilot Awards will be launched in 2013 (working with colleagues in Latin America and South and East Asia in the first instance), and they will be assessed at the 2014 annual general meeting. All being well the scheme will be rolled out fully in 2014/15.
i The trustees held four formal meetings during the year: 14th May; 5th July; 2nd November; and 16th January. Their annual general meeting took place 10th - 11th May 2013 in the Department of Geography at the University of Georgia (Athens, Georgia, USA); it was attended by the secretary and all trustees except Paul Chatterton and Jane Wills. In addition to these meetings there were also more regular, less formal telephone calls and e-mail exchanges.

ii A list of members is available here: http://antipodefoundation.org/about-the-journal-and-foundation/international-advisory-board/

iii “Antipode’s future editors will be appointed by the trustees of the Antipode Foundation. If you are interested in becoming more involved with Antipode please let us know by contacting Andrew Kent at antipode@live.co.uk”. See: http://antipodefoundation.org/about-the-journal-and-foundation/editorial-collective/

iv While the trustee payments are made in the year to which they relate, the editor payments to editors who are also trustees are made one year in arrears; the editor payments to editors who are not trustees are made in the year to which they relate. In the year ended 30 April 2013 the following payments were made:

Trustee payments, regarding May 2012-April 2013

£1,000 – the University of Bristol, regarding Wendy Larner (August 2012);
£1,000 – the University of Leeds, regarding Paul Chatterton (August 2012);
£1,000 – the University of Minnesota, regarding Vinay Gidwani (August 2012);
£1,000 – the University of Georgia, regarding Nik Heynen (July 2012);
£1,000 – the University of Manchester, regarding Noel Castree (August 2012);
£1,000 – the University of British Columbia, regarding Jamie Peck (July 2012);
£1,000 – Queen Mary University of London, regarding Jane Wills (August 2012); £1,000 – Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez, regarding Melissa Wright (Pennsylvania State University wished to levy a 20% administration fee, and thus Melissa paid research assistant expenses in Mexico directly and the Foundation reimbursed her £995.19 in April 2013 [£26.81 will be carried over to 2013/14: this includes £22.00 from 2011/12]);

Editors who are also trustees, regarding May 2011-April 2012
£4,000 – the University of Bristol, regarding Wendy Larner (August 2012); £3,000 – the University of Leeds, regarding Paul Chatterton (August 2012); £3,000 – the University of Minnesota, regarding Vinay Gidwani(August 2012); and £3,000 – the University of Georgia, regarding Nik Heynen (July 2012).

Editors who are not trustees, regarding May 2012-April 2013
£3,000 – direct to Sharad Chari (the University of the Witwatersrand wished to levy a 10% ‘cost recovery’ fee, and thus the Foundation reimburses any reasonable and proper expenses Sharad incurs whilst carrying out his duties as researcher, educator and scholar; in December 2012 Sharad reclaimed the actual cost of books from the Foundation [£1,902.50; the remaining £1,097.50 will be carried over to 2013/14]);

Editors who are not trustees, regarding December 2012-November 2013
£3,000 – Queen’s University, regarding Katherine McKittrick (December 2012).

v National Employment Savings Trust (NEST), Nene Hall, Lynch Wood Business Park, Peterborough, PE2 6FY.


viii This list is indicative rather than exhaustive. For more on the type of work *Antipode* publishes, see Paul Chatterton, Vinay Gidwani, Nik Heynen, Andrew Kent, Wendy Larner and Rachel Pain, ‘*Antipode* in an antithetical era’, *Antipode* 43(2):181-189, 2011 (copies available upon request [antipode@live.co.uk]).

ix See http://antipodefoundation.org/book-reviews/

x A list of titles in the *Antipode* Book Series is available here: http://eu.wiley.com/WileyCDA/Section/id-324286.html

xi The online version of the journal is available via Wiley Online Library: http://www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/anti

xii See http://www.research4life.org/about/


xiv See http://antipodefoundation.org/graduate-student-scholarship/past-winners/

xv See http://antipodefoundation.org/international-workshop-awards/201213-recipients/
Advertisements/announcements for both the Scholar-Activist Project Awards and the Regional Workshop Awards appear on the Foundation’s website (AntipodeFoundation.org) and a number of electronic mailing lists used by radical/critical geographers (including CRIT-GEOG-FORUM, LEFTGEOG, and lists used in Latin America and South and East Asia).

See http://antipodefoundation.org/scholar-activist-project-awards/201213-recipients/


International Critical Geography Group (ICGG):
http://internationalcriticalgeography.org/

Association of American Geographers (AAG) annual meeting:
http://www.aag.org/cs/annualmeeting

Royal Geographical Society (with the Institute of British Geographers) (RGS-IBG) annual international conference:
http://www.rgs.org/WhatsOn/ConferencesAndSeminars/Annual+International+Conference/Annual+international+conference.htm

Films of the AAG and RGS-IBG lectures are available here:

xxiv The two-year impact factor is calculated by dividing the number of citations in 2012 of Antipode papers published in the previous two years by the number of Antipode papers published in the previous two years (345 / 142 = 2.430). As Thomson Reuters put it, “... JCR [Journal Citation Reports] provides quantitative tools for ranking, evaluating, categorising, and comparing journals. The impact factor is one of these; it is a measure of the frequency with which the ‘average article’ in a journal has been cited in a particular year or period. The annual JCR impact factor is a ratio between citations and recent citable items published. Thus, the impact factor of a journal is calculated by dividing the number of current year citations to the source items published in that journal during the previous two years” (http://wokinfo.com/essays/impact-factor/).

xxv See http://eu.wiley.com/WileyCDA/Section/id-324286.html

xxvi See, for example, Adam Dixon on Banking Across Boundaries in Regional Studies (DOI: 10.1080/00343404.2013.829969) and Stuart Elden on The Down-Deep Delight of Democracy in Global Discourse (DOI: 10.1080/23269995.2013.836376).

xxvii See http://antipodefoundation.org/graduate-student-scholarship/past-winners/

See http://antipodefoundation.org/international-workshop-awards/ - note that, because the ‘regional’ in ‘Regional Workshop Awards’ caused concern among applicants in 2012/13 (it’s an unclear and contested concept in our discipline), at the May 2013 AGM the trustees resolved to replace it in 2013/14 with ‘international’, (re)launching the ‘International Workshop Awards’.


See http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications/country-and-lending-groups

See http://antipodefoundation.org/2012/08/02/antipode-foundation-awards-201213-the-results/

See http://antipodefoundation.org/scholar-activist-project-awards/

See http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications/country-and-lending-groups

See http://antipodefoundation.org/2012/08/02/antipode-foundation-awards-201213-the-results/

2012 RGS-IBG lecture video: http://tinyurl.com/bkrcsqv

2013 AAG lecture video: http://tinyurl.com/pb6phsw


xxxix Listed here: http://antipodefoundation.org/institute-for-the-geographies-of-justice/past-institutes/durban-south-africa-27-may-1-june-2013/ (the missing name is Mamyrah Dougé-Proper: an accident meant Mamyrah was unable to attend). 12 were based in North American universities, one in a South American university, six in European universities, four in Southern African universities, one in an Indian university, and one in a New Zealand university.

xl The virtual issue ‘Imagining and Enacting Community Economies’, posted in June 2012 (http://antipodefoundation.org/2012/06/20/virtual-issue-imagining-and-enacting-community-economies/) to mark the 2012 Antipode RGS-IBG lecture, was a highlight: one of the papers included, J.K. Gibson-Graham and Gerda Roelvink’s ‘An Economic Ethics for the Anthropocene’ (Antipode 41[s1]:320-346, 2010) was our ninth most downloaded paper in 2012. All of the papers were made open access for the year.

xli Standing out is the symposium on Joel Wainwright and Geoff Mann’s paper, ‘Climate Leviathan’ (Antipode 45[1]:1-22, 2013): the paper was published for the first time at the same time as the symposium in July 2012 and went on to become our fifth most downloaded in the year. The symposium itself was viewed well over 2,000 times in the last six months of 2012.
Each year Wiley pay to the Foundation a contribution to the costs of the editorial office; for the calendar year 2013 £30,810 was paid. The contribution will rise with the UK Consumer Price Index during the contract term. The Foundation also receives a contribution to the costs of the trustees’ annual general meeting (£3,000).